

The Attorney General of Texas

JIM MATTOX Attorney General August 31, 1984

Supreme Court Building P. O. Box 12548 Austin, TX. 78711- 2548 512/475-2501 Telex 910/874-1367 Telecopier 512/475-0266

714 Jackson, Suite 700 Dallas, TX. 75202-4506 214/742-8944

4824 Alberta Ave., Suite 160 El Paso, TX. 79905-2793 915/533-3484

"01 Texas, Suite 700 Juston, TX. 77002-3111 713/223-5886

806 Broadway, Suite 312 Lubbock, TX. 79401-3479 806/747-5238

4309 N. Tenth, Suite B McAllen, TX. 78501-1685 512/682-4547

200 Main Plaza, Suite 400 San Antonio, TX. 78205-2797 512/225-4191

An Equal Opportunity/ Affirmative Action Employer Honorable Erwin W. Barton Chairman Human Services Committee Texas House of Representatives P. O. Box 2910 Austin, Texas 78769

Dear Representative Barton:

Opinion No. JM-201

Re: Whether the city of Pasadena is entitled to representation on the Port of Houston Authority

You ask us about the appointment of commissioners to the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, Texas. Section 61.158(b) of the Water Code provides that

[t]wo of the commissioners shall be appointed by a majority of the city council of the municipality having a population of 100,000 or more, and two of the commissioners shall be appointed by a majority of the commissioners court.

You inform us that the population of the city of Pasadena in Harris County now exceed: 100,000 and wish to know whether a majority of the city council of Pasadena is thereby entitled to appoint any commissioners to the board. We conclude that it is not.

The present authority was first created in 1909 as the Harris County Ship Channel Navigation District by enabling legislation passed pursuant to article III, section 52 of the Texas Constitution. The legislature enacted, in 1921, Senate Bill No. 93, a general statute that applied to mayigation districts that

have been or may be created for the development of deep water navigation, having a municipality containing or hereafter containing one hundred thousand population or more as determined by the last preceding census. . . (Emphasis added).

Acts 1921, 37th Lag., 2nd C.S., ch. 30, \$1, at 53. Article 8235, V.T.C.S., the statute contained in the 1921 enactment providing for the appointment of commissioners, was, in turn, codified as section 61.158 of the Wate: Code and provides as follows:

Section 61.158. Appointment of Commissioners

- (a) If the provisions of this subchapter are adopted by a district, the district shall be managed, governed, and controlled by a commission composed of five commissioners, who shall be subject to the supervision and control of the board.
- (b) Two of the commissioners shall be appointed by a majority of the city council of the municipality having a population of 100,000 or more, and two of the commissioners shall be appointed by a majority of the commissioners court.
- (c) The chairman of the commission shall be the fifth member and shall be elected by majority vote of the city council and commissioners court meeting in joint session called by the county judge. (Emphasis added).

It is suggested that the above underscored language from Senate Bill No. 93 defining the 3cope of the bill, i.e. districts having a municipality containing or hereafter containing 100,000 population or more, indicates legislative intent that the governing body of any municipality located within such district which reaches a population of 100,000 after the creation of the district is entitled to appoint commissioners. We disagree for two reasons.

When called upon to interpret a statute, we can offer only an interpretation

which expresses only the will of the makers of the law, not forced nor strained, but simply such as the words of the law in their plain sense fairly sanction and will clearly sustain.

Railroad Commission of Texas v. Miller, 434 S.W.2d 670, 672 (Tex. 1968) quoting Simmons v. Arnim, 220 S.W. 66, 70 (Tex. 1920). At all times subsequent to its exactment, an act should be given the same meaning that it had at the time of its enactment. Manry v. Robison, 56 S.W.2d 438 (Tex. 1932); Townsend v. Terrell, 16 S.W.2d 1063 (Tex. 1929).

Section 61.158(b) of the code provides that

[t]wo of the commissioners shall be appointed by a majority of the city council of the municipality

having a population of 100,000 or more. . . . (Emphasis added).

The municipality to which section 61.158 refers is the municipality having a population of 100,000 or more set forth in section 61.151 of the code. Section 61.151 of the code provides the following in pertinent part:

(a) A district created for the development of deep-water navigation which includes a city with a population of mone than 100,000, according to the last preceding federal census, may operate and develop ports and waterways inside the district and extending to the Gulf of Mexico. (Emphasis added).

When the district was created the only city therein with a population of 100,000 or more was Houston. Clearly, the only city then entitled to appoint commissioners was and remains Houston.

Moreover, subsection (a) of section 61.158 provides for the appointment of only five commissioners. If the city of Pasadena were permitted to appoint commissioners, the number would clearly increase, an eventuality which the statute does not contemplate. If the legislature had intended that every municipality in such district be authorized to appoint commissioners once that municipality reached a population of 100,000, it would have so provided. The language of Senate Bill No. 93 upon which you rely merely sets forth the brackets of the bill, determining the scope of the statute to districts with a municipality containing 100,000 at the time of, or subsequent to, the bill's passage. Accordingly, we conclude that the city of Pasadena is not entitled to appoint commissioners to the district in the manner set forth in section 61.158 (b) of the Water Code.

SUMMARY

The city council of the city of Pasadena is not entitled to appoint commissioners to the Port of Houston Authority of Harris County.

JIM MATTOX

Attorney General of Texas

TOM GREEN

First Assistant Attorney General

Honorable Erwin W. Barton - Page 4 (JM-201)

DAVID R. RICHARDS Executive Assistant Attorney General

Prepared by Jim Moellinger Assistant Attorney General

APPROVED: OPINION COMMITTEE

Rick Gilpin, Chairman David Brooks Colin Carl Susan Garrison Jim Moellinger Nancy Sutton